

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
BUILDINGS AND ROADS BRANCH

Circle Ambala

The 17th December, 1979

No. SE/PWD/B&R/Ambala/1166.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be needed by the Government, at public expenses, for a public purpose, namely, constructing a link road from new Haryana State Highway to village Dhamauli Uparli in Ambala District, it is hereby notified that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking, with their servants and workmen, to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested in the above land, who has any objection to the acquisition thereof may within thirty days after the date on which public notice of the substance of this notification is given in the locality, file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Public Works Department, Buildings and Roads Branch, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village	Hadbast No.	Area in Acres	Khasra No.	
Ambala	Naraingarh	Dhamauli Uparli	275	0.30	35	38
					1	2
Total				0.30		

No. SE/PWD/B&R/Ambala/1167.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be needed by the Government, at public expenses, for a public purpose, namely, link road from Bilaspur Khizarabad road to village Lahasabad in Ambala District, it is hereby notified that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested in the above land, who has any objection to the acquisition thereof may within thirty days after the date on which public notice of the substance of this notification is given in the locality, file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village	Hadbast No.	Area in Acres	Rectangle/Killa No.
Ambala	Jagadhri	Lahasabad	175	0.75	15
					10, 22

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village	Hadbast No.	Area in Acres	Rectangle/ Killa No.
					16
					2/1, 2/2, 9
					30, 68
			Total	0.75	

(Sd.)

Superintending Engineer,
Ambala Circle, PWD, B & R Branch,
Ambala Cantt.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 22nd November, 1979

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Shri Chand Steel Rolling Mills, Modern Industrial Estate, Bahadurgarh:—

BEFORE SHRI GURMESH PARKASH, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 49 of 1979

between

SHRI AYUDHIA PARSHAD, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SHRI
CHAND STEEL ROLLING MILLS, MODERN INDUSTRIAL ESTATE,
BAHADURGARH (ROHTAK)

Present:—

Shri Rajinder Singh, for the workman.

Shri Sachidanand Hassija, for the respondent.

AWARD

This reference has been made over to the Labour Court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana, — vide his order No. R/K/26-78, under section 10(1)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act for adjudication the dispute existing between the workman Shri Ayudhia Parshad and the management of M/s Shri Chand Steel Rolling Mills, Modern Industrial Estate, Bahadurgarh. The term of the reference was whether the termination of service of the workman was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receiving this reference notices were issued to the parties. The workman appeared before this Court through his authorised representative and filed the claim statement. The management also appeared through their authorised representative and filed the written statement, with a photostat copy of settlement which according to them had been arrived between the workman and the management and also filed a photo-stat copy of receipt under which the workman received Rs 235 from the management and stated that he has fully and finally settled all claims with the management. On which the representative of the workman was directed to produce the workman for admission or denial of these documents. But he could not produce the workman despite of the fact that 2 or 3 adjournments were given to him only for this reason.

On 10th October, 1979, Shri Rajinder Singh made a statement before this Court that he has informed the workman so many times to come before this Court and pursue his reference but he is not giving any response. He also stated that he does not want to continue it and let this reference be decided against the workman for non-prosecution.

Keeping in view the circumstances of the case I hereby give my award that the termination of the service of Shri Ayudhia Parshad was justified and in order for non-prosecution of this reference by the workman. He will not be entitled for any further relief.

GURMESH PARKASH,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

Dated 10th October, 1979.